Remedial Natura Impact Statement

Renville West Garage Conversion
Substitute Consent

Prepared by: Moore Group – Environmental Services

11 March 2025

On behalf of Gerry and Margaret McNulty

Project Proponent	Gerry and Margaret McNulty
Project	Renville West Garage Conversion Substitute Consent
Title	Remedial Natura Impact Statement Appropriate Assessment Renville West Garage Conversion Substitute Consent

Project Number	23258	**Document Ref**	23258 Renville West Retention RNIS Rev1	
Revision	**Description**	**Author**		**Date**
Rev1	Client Rev	G. O'Donohoe		11 March 2025
Moore Archaeological and Environmental Services Limited				

Abbreviations

AA	Appropriate Assessment
ABP	An Bord Pleanála
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
EEC	European Economic Community
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EU	European Union
FWPM	Freshwater Pearl Mussel
GIS	Geographical Information System
LAP	Local Area Plan
NHA	Natural Heritage Area
NIS	Natura Impact Statement
RNIS	Remedial Natura Impact Statement
NPWS	National Parks and Wildlife Service
OSI	Ordnance Survey Ireland
pNHA	proposed Natural Heritage Area
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SPA	Special Protection Area
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage System
UÉ	Uisce Éireann
WFD	Water Framework Directive

Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. General Introduction

This Remedial Natura Impact Statement (RNIS) has been prepared by Moore Group – Environmental Services on behalf of Gerry and Margaret McNulty. This RNIS report contains information to assist the competent authority in carrying out an Appropriate Assessment (AA) for the purposes of Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive and section 177V of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, (the "Planning Acts") in respect of substitute consent for alterations and conversion of a previously approved domestic garage to residential chalet accommodation on a revised site at Renville West, Oranmore, Co. Galway, (hereafter referred to as the Project).

This application to ABP for Substitute Consent replaces the prior Retention application to Galway County Council and refers to the existing situation on the ground.

This RNIS informs the Appropriate Assessment process in the determination of any adverse effects on the integrity of European sites, having regard to their conservations objectives and in light of best scientific knowledge.

1.2. Legislative Background - The Habitats and Birds Directives

Articles 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive are transposed into Irish Law inter alia by the Part XAB of the Planning Acts (section 177U and 177V) governing the requirement to carry out appropriate assessment screening and appropriate assessment, where required, per Section 1.1 above.

The Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992) on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora) is the main legislative instrument for the protection and conservation of biodiversity in the European Union (EU). Under Article 3 of the Habitats Directive, Member States are obliged to designate Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) which contain habitats or species considered important for protection and conservation in a EU context.

The Birds Directive (Council Directive 2009/147/EC) on the conservation of wild birds), transposed into Irish law by the Habitats Regulations 2011, as amended, and the Wildlife Act 1976, as amended, is concerned with the long-term protection and management of all wild bird species and their habitats in the EU. Among other things, the Birds Directive requires that Special Protection Areas (SPAs) be established to protect migratory species and species which are rare, vulnerable, in danger of extinction, or otherwise require special attention.

SACs designated under the Habitats Directive and SPAs, designated under the Birds Directive, form a pan-European network of protected sites known as Natura 2000. The Habitats Directive sets out a unified system for the protection and management of SACs and SPAs. These sites are also referred to in Irish legislation as 'European sites'.

Articles 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive set out the requirement for an assessment of proposed plans and projects likely to have a significant effect on Natura 2000 sites.

Article 6(3) establishes the requirement to screen all plans and projects and to carry out an appropriate assessment if required (Appropriate Assessment (AA)). Article 6(4) establishes requirements in cases of imperative reasons of overriding public interest:

1.3. Methodology

The Commission's methodological guidance (EC, 2002, 2018, 2021 see Section 1.4 below) promotes a four-stage process to complete the AA and outlines the issues and tests at each stage. An important aspect of the process is that the outcome at each successive stage determines whether a further stage in the process is required.

Stages 1 and 2 deal with the main requirements for assessment under Article 6(3). Stage 3 may be part of Article 6(3) or may be a necessary precursor to Stage 4. Stage 4 is the main derogation step of Article 6(4).

Stage 1 Screening: This stage examines the likely effects of a project either alone or in combination with other projects upon a Natura 2000 site and considers whether it can be objectively concluded that these effects will not be significant. In order to screen out a project, it must be excluded, on the basis of objective information, that the Project, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on a European site.

Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment: In this stage, there is a consideration of the impact of the project with a view to ascertain whether there will be any adverse effect on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site either alone or in combination with other projects or plans, with respect to the site's structure and function and its conservation objectives. Additionally, where there are predicted impacts, an assessment of the potential mitigation of those impacts is considered.

Stage 3 Assessment of Alternative Solutions: This stage examines alternative ways of implementing the project that, where possible, avoid any adverse impacts on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site.

Stage 4 Assessment where no alternative solutions exist and where adverse impacts remain: Where imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI) exist, an assessment to consider whether compensatory measures will or will not effectively offset the damage to the sites will be necessary.

1.4. Guidance

The RNIS has been compiled in accordance with guidance contained in the following documents:

- Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland - Guidance for Planning Authorities. (Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, 2010 rev.)(soon to be superseded by EC Guidance in prep.).
- Appropriate Assessment under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive: Guidance for Planning Authorities. Circular NPWS 1/10 & PSSP 2/10.
- Managing Natura 2000 Sites: The Provisions of Article 6 of the Habitat's Directive 92/43/EEC (EC, 2018).
- Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive (EC, 2021).
- Assessment of plans and projects in relation to Natura 2000 sites - Methodological guidance on Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (EC, 2021).
- Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR) Practice Note PN01 Appropriate Assessment Screening for Development Management (OPR, 2021).
- Natura Impact Statement Sustainable Residential Development and Compact Settlement Guidelines for Planning Authorities (NPWS, 2024).

1.5. Data Sources

Sources of information that were used to collect data on the Natura 2000 network of sites, and the environment within which they are located, are listed below:

- The following mapping and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) data sources, as required:
    - National Parks & Wildlife (NPWS) protected site boundary data;
    - Ordnance Survey of Ireland (OSI) mapping and aerial photography;
    - OSI/Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) rivers and streams, and catchments;
    - Open Street Maps;
    - Digital Elevation Model over Europe (EU-DEM);
    - Google Earth and Bing aerial photography 1995-2025;

- Online data available on Natura 2000 sites as held by the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) from www.npws.ie including:
    - Natura 2000 - Standard Data Form;
    - Conservation Objectives;
    - Site Synopses;
- National Biodiversity Data Centre records;
    - Online database of rare, threatened and protected species;
    - Publicly accessible biodiversity datasets.
- Status of EU Protected Habitats in Ireland. (National Parks & Wildlife Service, 2019); and
- Relevant Development Plans in neighbouring areas;
    - Galway County Development Plan 2022 - 2028

1.6. Statement of Authority

This report was compiled by Ger O'Donohoe (B.Sc. Applied Aquatic Sciences (ATU Galway , 1993) & M.Sc. Environmental Sciences (TCD, 1999)) who has 30 years' experience in environmental impact assessment and has completed numerous reports for Appropriate Assessment Screening and Natura Impact Statements in terrestrial and aquatic habitats.

Engineering and technical data was supplied by Oliver Higgins Consulting Engineers for the Project.

1.7. Description of the Project

The Project consists of;

1) Alterations to and change of use of domestic garage, previously permitted under Planning Register Reference Number 67042, to private residential use on revised site boundaries and using a revised site entrance.
2) The replacement of an existing septic tank and percolation area with a foul sewer connection.

Figure 1 shows the Project location and Figure 2 shows a detailed view of the Project boundary on recent aerial photography. Figure 3 presents a plan of the Project.

Figure 1. Showing the Project location at Renville West, Oranmore, Co. Galway.



Figure 2. Showing the Project site on recent aerial photography.



Figure 3. Plan of the existing Project showing connection to municipal sewer.

2. Stage 1 – Screening for Appropriate Assessment

The potential for source pathway receptor connectivity was firstly identified through GIS interrogation and detailed information was then provided on sites with connectivity. European sites that are located within a potential Zone of Influence of the Overall Development are listed in Table 1 and presented in Figures 4 and 5, below. Spatial boundary data on the Natura 2000 network was extracted from the NPWS website (www.npws.ie) on 11 March 2025. This data was interrogated using GIS analysis to provide mapping, distances, locations and pathways to all sites of conservation concern including pNHAs, NHAs and European sites.

Table 1 European Sites located within the potential Zone of Influence[1] of the Project.

Site Code	Site name	Distance (km)[2]
000268	Galway Bay Complex SAC	0.01
004031	Inner Galway Bay SPA	0.01
004142	Creganna Marsh SPA	0.71

The nearest European sites to the Project are the two Galway Bay sites, the Galway Bay Complex SAC (000268), and Inner Galway Bay SPA (004031), which both lie almost adjacent to the north. Creganna Marsh SPA (004142) is located 0.71km to the southeast.

There is no connectivity or pathways to any other European sites.

This application to ABP for Substitute Consent replaces the prior Retention application to Galway County Council and refers to the existing situation on the ground.

Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment of the Project has been prepared as follows.

[1] All European sites potentially connected irrespective of the nature or scale of the Project.
[2] Distances indicated are the closest geographical distance between the Project and the European site boundary, as made available by the NPWS.



Figure 4. Showing European sites and NHAs/pNHAs in the wider vicinity of the Project.

Figure 5. Detailed view of European sites in the nearer vicinity of the Project.

3. Stage 2 – Appropriate Assessment

This stage considers whether the Project, alone or in combination with other projects or plans, will have adverse effects on the integrity of a European site, and includes any mitigation measures necessary to avoid, reduce or offset negative effects. The Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment comprises a scientific examination of the plan / project and the relevant European site; to identify and characterise any possible implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives, structure and function; taking account of in combination effects.

3.1.1. Description of the Existing Environment

The site comprises the existing Buildings and artificial surfaces (BL3) of the dwellings and farm buildings in place and adjacent improved agricultural grassland (GA1).

There are no rare or protected habitats recorded inside or at the site boundary. The site may be considered of Low Ecological Value at a Local level.

3.1.2. Description of European Sites Potentially Affected

Potential impacts on the following European site have been identified**:**

3.1.3. Galway Bay Complex SAC [000268]

The following excerpt is provided from the NPWS Site Synopsis in relation to the Galway Bay Complex SAC (Version date 10.12.2015):

Situated on the west coast of Ireland, this site comprises the inner, shallow part of a large bay which is partially sheltered by the Aran Islands. The Burren karstic limestone fringes the southern sides and extends into the sublittoral. West of Galway city the bedrock geology is granite. There are numerous shallow and intertidal inlets on the eastern and southern sides, notably Muckinish, Aughinish and Kinvarra Bays. A number of small islands composed of glacial deposits are located along the eastern side. These include Eddy Island, Deer Island and Tawin Island. A diverse range of marine, coastal and terrestrial habitats, including several listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive, occur within the site, making the area of high scientific importance.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

[1140] Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats

[1150] Coastal Lagoons*

[1160] Large Shallow Inlets and Bays

[1170] Reefs

[1220] Perennial Vegetation of Stony Banks

[1230] Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts

[1310] *Salicornia* Mud

[1330] Atlantic Salt Meadows

[1410] Mediterranean Salt Meadows

[3180] Turloughs*

[5130] Juniper Scrub

[6210] Orchid-rich Calcareous Grassland*

[7210] *Cladium* Fens*

[7230] Alkaline Fens

[8240] Limestone Pavement*

[1355] Otter (*Lutra lutra*)

[1365] Common (Harbour) Seal (*Phoca vitulina*)

This large coastal site is of immense conservation importance, with many habitats listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive, four of which have priority status (lagoon, *Cladium* fen, turlough and orchid-rich calcareous grassland). The examples of shallow bays, reefs, lagoons and saltmarshes found within this site are amongst the best in the country. The site supports an important Common Seal colony and a breeding Otter population (Annex II species), and six regular Annex I E.U. Birds Directive species. The site also has four Red Data Book plant species, plus a host of rare or scarce marine and lagoonal animal and plant species.

3.1.4. Inner Galway Bay SPA [004031]

The following excerpt is provided from the NPWS Site Synopsis in relation to the Galway Bay Complex SAC (Version date 07.07.2014):

Inner Galway Bay SPA is a very large, marine-dominated site situated on the west coast of Ireland. The inner bay is protected from exposure to Atlantic swells by the Aran Islands and Black Head. Subsidiary bays and inlets (e.g. Poulnaclough, Aughinish and Kinvarra Bays) add texture to the patterns of water movement and sediment deposition, which lends variety to the marine habitats and communities. The terraced Carboniferous (Viséan) limestone platform of the Burren sweeps down to the shore and into the sublittoral. The long shoreline is noted for its diversity, and comprises complex mixtures of bedrock

shore, shingle beach, sandy beach and fringing salt marshes. Intertidal sand and mud flats occur around much of the shoreline, with the largest areas being found on the sheltered eastern coast between Oranmore Bay and Kinvarra Bay. A number of small islands and rocky islets in the Bay are included within the site.

The site is a Special Protection Area (SPA) under the E.U. Birds Directive, of special conservation interest for the following species: Great Northern Diver, Cormorant, Grey Heron, Light-bellied Brent Goose, Wigeon, Teal, Shoveler, Red-breasted Merganser, Ringed Plover, Golden Plover, Lapwing, Dunlin, Bar-tailed Godwit, Curlew, Redshank, Turnstone, Black-headed Gull, Common Gull, Sandwich Tern and Common Tern. The E.U. Birds Directive pays particular attention to wetlands and, as these form part of this SPA, the site and its associated waterbirds are of special conservation interest for Wetland & Waterbirds.

Inner Galway Bay SPA is of high ornithological importance with two wintering species having populations of international importance and a further sixteen wintering species having populations of national importance. The breeding colonies of Sandwich Tern, Common Tern and Cormorant are also of national importance. Also of note is that six of the regularly occurring species are listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, i.e. Black-throated Diver, Great Northern Diver, Golden Plover, Bar-tailed Godwit, Sandwich Tern and Common Tern. Inner Galway Bay is a Ramsar Convention site and part of the Inner Galway Bay SPA is a Wildfowl Sanctuary.

3.2. Conservation Objectives of European Sites

3.2.1. Galway Bay Complex SAC [000268]

Specific Conservation Objectives and Target Notes are set by the NPWS (Version 1. 16th April 2013) for Galway Bay Complex SAC (000268) as follows:

1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent habitat area is stable or increasing, subject to natural processes. See map 3	Habitat area was estimated using OSi data as 744ha
Community distribution	Hectares	Conserve the following community types in a natural condition: Intertidal sandy mud community complex; and Intertidal sand community complex.	Based on intertidal surveys undertaken in 2009 and 2010 (RPS, 2012). See marine supporting document for further information

1150 Coastal lagoons

To restore the favourable conservation condition of Coastal lagoons in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable, subject to slight natural variation. Favourable reference area 76.7ha. See map 4	Areas calculated from spatial data derived from Oliver, 2007. Site codes IL037, IL038, IL039, IL046, IL047, IL048, IL049, IL050, IL051, IL052. NB there may be more, as yet unmapped, lagoons within this SAC. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes. See map 4 for mapped lagoons	Sites IL037, IL038, IL039, IL046, IL047, IL048, IL049, IL050, IL051, IL052 in Oliver, 2007. NB there may be more, as yet unmapped, lagoons within this SAC. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Salinity regime	Practical salinity units (psu)	Median annual salinity and temporal variation within natural ranges	The lagoons in the site vary from oligohaline to euhaline. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Hydrological regime	Metres	Annual water level fluctuations and minima within natural ranges	Most of the lagoons listed for this site are considered to be shallow; however, Aughinish lagoon and Lough Atalia do have deeper (at least 3m) parts. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Barrier: connectivity between lagoon and sea	Permeability	Appropriate hydrological connections between lagoons and sea, including where necessary, appropriate management	The lagoons within this site exhibit a variety of barrier types including cobble/shingle, karst and artificial embankment/causeway. Several are recorded as having sluices. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Water quality: Chlorophyll *a*	µg/L	Annual median chlorophyll *a* within natural ranges and less than 5µg/L	Target based on Roden and Oliver (2010). See lagoon supporting document for further details
Water quality: Molybdate Reactive Phosphorus (MRP)	mg/L	Annual median MRP within natural ranges 0.1mg/L	Target based on Roden and Oliver (2010). See lagoon supporting document for further details
Water quality: Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN)	mg/L	Annual median DIN within natural ranges and less than 0.15mg/L	Target based on Roden and Oliver (2010). See lagoon supporting document for further details
Depth of macrophyte colonisation	Metres	Macrophyte colonisation to at least 2m depth	For shallow lagoons, it is expected that macrophytes should extend to their deepest points. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Typical plant species	Number and m^2	Maintain number and extent of listed lagoonal specialists, subject to natural variation	Species listed in Oliver, 2007. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Typical animal species	Number	Maintain listed lagoon specialists, subject to natural variation	Species listed in Oliver, 2007. See lagoon supporting document for further details
Negative indicator species	Number and % cover	Negative indicator species absent or under control	Low salinity, shallow water and elevated nutrient levels increase the threat of accelerated encroachment by reedbeds. See lagoon supporting document for further details

1160 Large shallow inlets and bays

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Large shallow inlets and bays in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent habitat area is stable or increasing, subject to natural processes. See map 5	Habitat area was estimated as 10,825ha using OSi data and the Transitional Water Body area as defined under the Water Framework Directive
Community extent	Hectares	Maintain the extent of the *Zostera*-dominated community complex and the maërl-dominated community, subject to natural processes. See map 7	Based on 2006 diver observation and dropdown camera data (MERC, 2006). See marine supporting document for further details
Community structure: *Zostera* density	Shoots per m^2	Conserve the high quality of *Zostera*-dominated communities, subject to natural processes	2006 diver observation and dropdown camera data (MERC, 2006). See marine supporting document for further details
Community structure	Biological composition	Conserve the high quality of the maërl-dominated community, subject to natural processes	2006 diver observation and dropdown camera data (MERC, 2006). See marine supporting document for further details
Community distribution	Hectares	Conserve the following community types in a natural condition: Intertidal sandy mud community complex; Intertidal sand community complex; Fine to medium sand with bivalves community complex; Sandy mud to mixed sediment community complex; Mixed sediment dominated by Mytilidae community complex; Shingle; Fucoid-dominated community complex; *Laminaria*-dominated community complex; and Shallow sponge-dominated community complex. See	Based on intertidal and subtidal surveys undertaken in 2009 and 2010 (Aquafact, 2010a, b; RPS, 2012). See marine supporting document for further information

1170 Reefs

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Reefs in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Distribution	Occurrence	The distribution of reefs is stable or increasing, subject to natural processes. See map 6 for mapped distribution	Based on information from 2009 and 2010 intertidal survey data and 2009 subtidal survey data (Aquafact, 2010a, b; RPS, 2012). See marine supporting document for further details
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent habitat area is stable, subject to natural processes. See map 6	Habitat area estimated as 2773ha using 2009 and 2010 intertidal survey data and 2009 subtidal survey data (Aquafact, 2010a, b; RPS, 2012)
Community extent	Hectares	Maintain the extent of the *Mytilus*-dominated reef community, subject to natural processes. See map 7	Area established from 2009 intertidal survey (RPS, 2012)
Community structure: *Mytilus* density	Individuals per m^2	Conserve the high quality of the *Mytilus*-dominated reef community, subject to natural processes	Based on intertidal survey 2009 (RPS, 2012) and intertidal walkover 2012
Community structure	Biological composition	Conserve the following community types in a natural condition: Fucoid-dominated community complex; *Laminaria*-dominated community complex; and Shallow sponge-dominated community complex See	Reef mapping based on information from 2009 subtidal reef survey (Aquafact, 2010b) and 2009 and 2010 intertidal surveys (RPS, 2012). See marine supporting document for further details

1220 Perennial vegetation of stony banks

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Perennial vegetation of stony banks in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	Current area unknown. It was recorded from Rinville Point, Tawin Point and coastline from Blackhead to Carrickada during the National Shingle Beach Survey (Moore and Wilson, 1999), but the extent was not mapped. Two areas of vegetated shingle were recorded during the Coastal Monitoring Project (Ryle et al., 2009): Bishopsquarter - 0.18ha and Barna (Whitestrand) - 0.45ha. NB further unsurveyed areas maybe present within the site. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, or change in habitat distribution, subject to natural processes. See map 8 for mapped locations	Full distribution unmapped at present, although the habitat has been recorded at Rinville Point, Tawin Point and coastline from Blackhead to Carrickada (Moore and Wilson, 1999). It has also been recorded from Barna and Bishopquarter by Ryle et al. (2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: functionality and sediment supply	Presence/ absence of physical barriers	Maintain the natural circulation of sediment and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	The Galway Bay shoreline supports good examples of shingle beaches along the more exposed shores to the south and west of Galway city and to the north-east of Finnavara, County Clare. Shingle features are relatively stable in the longterm (Moore and Wilson, 1999). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain range of coastal habitats including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession	Based on data from Moore and Wilson (1999). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain the typical vegetated shingle flora including the range of sub-communities within the different zones. Typical species include sea sandwort (*Honckenya peploides*), sea beet (*Beta vulgaris* ssp *maritima*), rock samphire (*Crithmum maritimum*), sea mayweed (*Tripleurospermum maritimum*), yellow-horned poppy (*Glaucium flavum*) and sea campion (*Silene uniflora*)	Based on data from Moore and Wilson (1999). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation composition: negative indicator species	Percentage cover	Negative indicator species (including non-natives) to represent less than 5% cover	Based on data from Moore and Wilson (1999). Negative indicators include non-native species indicative of changes in nutrient status and species not considered characteristic of the habitat. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details

1310 Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of *Salicornia* and other annuals colonizing mud and sand in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession. For sub-sites mapped: Barna House - 0.067ha, Seaweed Point - 0.003ha, Roscam West and South - 0.023ha, Kilcaimin - 0.015, Kileenaran - 0.007ha, Kinvara West - 0.017ha, Scanlan's Island - 0.117ha, Tawin Island - 1.098ha. See map 9	Based on data from Saltmarsh Monitoring Project (SMP) (McCorry and Ryle, 2009). Habitat recorded at eight of the ten sub-sites surveyed and mapped, giving a total estimated area of 1.347ha. N.B. Further unsurveyed areas may be present within this site. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, or change in habitat distribution, subject to natural processes. See map 9 for known distribution	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). *Salicornia* is an annual species, so its distribution can vary significantly from year to year. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: sediment supply	Presence/ absence of physical barriers	Maintain/restore, natural circulation of sediments and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	Sediment supply is particularly important for pioneer saltmarsh community, as the distribution of this habitat depends on accretion rates. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: creeks and pans	Occurrence	Maintain, or where necessary restore creek and pan structure, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). Creeks deliver sediment throughout saltmarsh system. Creeks and pan structures well developed at Kileenaran and Tawin Island. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: flooding regime	Hectares flooded; frequency	Maintain natural tidal regime	This pioneer saltmarsh community requires regular tidal inundation. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain the range of coastal habitats including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession.	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: vegetation height	Centimetres	Maintain structural variation within sward	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for details
Vegetation structure: vegetation cover	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain more than 90% of area outside creeks vegetated	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for details
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	Percentage cover	Maintain the range of species-poor communities with typical species listed in SMP (McCorry and Ryle, 2009)	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: negative indicator species - *Spartina anglica*	Hectares	There is currently no common cordgrass (*Spartina anglica*) in this SAC. Prevent establishment of cordgrass	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details

1330 Atlantic salt meadows (Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae)

To restore the favourable conservation condition of Atlantic salt meadows (*Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae*) in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession. For sub-sites mapped: Barna House - 2.33ha, Seaweed Point - 1.41ha, Roscam West and South - 3.30ha, Oranmore North - 4.24ha, Kilcaimin - 6.82ha, Tawin Island - 53.85ha, Tyrone House-Dunbulcaun Bay - 9.83ha, Kileenaran - 15.37ha, Kinvara West - 13.33ha, Scanlan's Island - 4.13ha. See map 9	Based on data from Saltmarsh monitoring Project (SMP) (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). Ten sub-sites that supported Atlantic salt meadow were mapped (114.612ha) and additional areas of potential saltmarsh (149.18ha) were identified by an examination of aerial photographs, giving a total estimated area of 263.80ha. NB further unsurveyed areas maybe present within the site. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline or change in habitat distribution, subject to natural processes. See map 9 for known distribution	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: sediment supply	Presence/ absence of physical barriers	Maintain/restore natural circulation of sediments and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: creeks and pans	Occurrence	Maintain creek and pan structure, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). The efficiency of sediment circulation throughout a saltmarsh depends on the creek pattern. Creeks and pans are well developed at both Tawin Island and Kileenaran. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: flooding regime	Hectares flooded; frequency	Maintain natural tidal regime	See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain range of coastal habitats including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: vegetation height	Centimetres	Maintain structural variation within sward	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: vegetation cover	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain more than 90% area outside creeks vegetated	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain range of sub-communities with typical species listed in SMP (McCorry and Ryle, 2009)	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details

1410 Mediterranean salt meadows (Juncetalia maritimi)

To restore the favourable conservation condition of Mediterranean salt meadows (*Juncetalia maritimi*) in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession. For sub-sites mapped: Barna House - 0.282ha, Seaweed Point - 0.931ha, Kilcaimin - 0.005ha, Tawin Island - 1.799ha. Tyrone House- Dunbulcan Bay - 8.184ha, Kileenaran - 0.271ha. See map 9	Based on data from the Saltmarsh Monitoring Project (SMP) (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). Six sub-sites that support Mediterranean salt meadow were mapped (11.472ha) and additional areas of potential saltmarsh (8.415ha) were identified from an examination of aerial photographs, giving a total estimated area of 19.887ha. NB further unsurveyed areas maybe present within the site. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes. See map 9 for known distribution	See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: sediment supply	Presence/absence of physical barriers	Maintain/restore natural circulation of sediments and organic matter, without any physical obstructions	See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: creeks and pans	Occurrence	Maintain creek and pan structure, subject to natural processes, including erosion and succession	Based on data from the SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). [Site-specific info.]. See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Physical structure: flooding regime	Hectares flooded; frequency	Maintain natural tidal regime	Mediterranean salt meadows is found high up in the saltmarsh but requires occasional tidal inundation. [Site-specific info.] See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: zonation	Occurrence	Maintain range of coastal habitats including transitional zones, subject to natural processes including erosion and succession	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: vegetation height	Centimetres	Maintain structural variation in the sward	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: vegetation cover	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain more than 90% of area outside creeks vegetated	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle (2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation composition: typical species and sub-communities	Percentage cover at a representative sample of monitoring stops	Maintain range of sub-communities with typical species listed in SMP (McCorry and Ryle, 2009)	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle (2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: negative indicator species - *Spartina anglica*	Hectares	There is currently no common cordgrass (*Spartina anglica*) in this SAC. Prevent establishment of cordgrass	Based on data from SMP (McCorry, 2007; McCorry and Ryle, 2009). See coastal habitats supporting document for further details

3180 Turloughs

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Turloughs in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable at c.59ha or increasing, subject to natural processes. See map 10	Based on measured area of four known turloughs. NB there may be more, as yet unmapped, turloughs within this SAC. See turloughs supporting document for further details
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes. See map 10	NB there may be more, as yet unmapped, turloughs within this SAC. See turloughs supporting document for further details
Hydrological regime: flood duration, frequency, area, depth; permanently flooded area	Various	Appropriate natural hydrological regimes necessary to support the natural structure and functioning of the habitat	Hydrological regime is sub-divided into more detailed attributes in the turloughs supporting document
Soil type: area	Hectares	Variety, area and extent of soil types necessary to support turlough vegetation and other biota	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Soil nutrient status: nitrogen and phosphorous	N and P concentration in soil	Nutrient status appropriate to soil types	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Physical structure: bare ground	Presence	Sufficient wet bare ground, as appropriate	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Chemical processes: calcium carbonate deposition and concentration	CaCO3 deposition rate/soil concentration	Appropriate CaCO3 deposition rates and concentration in soil	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Water quality: nutrients; colour; phytoplankton; epiphyton	Various	Appropriate water quality to support the natural structure and functioning of the habitat	Water quality is sub-divided into more detailed attributes in the turloughs supporting document
Active peat formation	Flood duration	Active peat formation, where appropriate	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Vegetation composition: area of vegetation communities	Hectares	Maintain area of sensitive and high conservation value vegetation communities/units at each turlough	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Vegetation composition: vegetation zonation	Distribution	Maintain vegetation zonation/mosaic characteristic of each turlough	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Vegetation structure: sward height	Centimetres	Sward heights appropriate to the vegetation unit, and a variety of sward heights across each turlough	See turloughs supporting document for further details
Typical species: terrestrial, wetland and aquatic plants, invertebrates and birds	Presence	Maintain typical species within and across all turloughs	Typical species is sub-divided into more detailed attributes in the turloughs supporting document
Fringing habitats: area	Hectares	Maintain marginal fringing habitats that support turlough vegetation, invertebrate, mammal and/or bird populations	See turloughs supporting document for further details

5130 Juniperus communis formations on heaths or calcareous grasslands

To restore the favourable conservation condition of *Juniperus communis* formations on heaths or calcareous grasslands in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Occurrence	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes. At least 1.4ha at mapped location. See map 10	Minimum area from one mapped location. Based on site visit in March 2013. Appropriate management might encourage expansion of the area. NB further unsurveyed areas maybe present within the SAC
Habitat distribution	Hectares	No decline. Known location shown on map 10	Distribution based on NPWS site visits in 2002, 2003 and 2013 (internal NPWS files). NB further unsurveyed locations maybe present within the SAC
Juniper population size	Number	At least 50 plants	To classify as a juniper formation, at least 50 plants should be present (Cooper et al., 2012). A site visit in March 2013 estimated c.130 plants
Formation structure: cover and height	Percentage and metres	Well-developed structure with an open to closed cover of juniper up to or exceeding 0.5 m in height with associated species	Structure currently open with most plants less than 0.5m in height (February 2013)
Formation structure: community diversity and extent	Hectares	Appropriate diversity and extent of formation	Suitable management could lead to expansion of the formation and increased diversity of associated species
Formation structure: cone-bearing plants	Percentage	At least 10% of plants bearing cones	Target based on Cooper et al., 2012. c.23% of plants were fruiting, some prolifically, during a site visit in March 2013
Formation structure: seedling recruitment	Percentage	At least 10% of juniper plants within the formation are seedlings	Target based on Cooper et al., 2012. No seedlings were recorded in February 2013
Formation structure: dead plants	Percentage	Not more than 10% of plants dead	Target based on Cooper et al., 2012. Only a few dead plants observed February 2013
Vegetation composition: typical species	Occurrence	A variety of typical native species with a minimum of 10 species present (excluding negative indicator species)	The area appears to fall into the *Carex flacca-Succisa pratensis* vegetation group as classified by Cooper et al. (2012), who also list positive indicator species. Few of these species have been recorded but a detailed survey has not been undertaken. Lack of suitable management at this site has resulted in a dominance of gorse (*Ulex europaeus*) and purple moorgrass (*Molinia caerulea*)
Vegetation composition: negative indicator species	Occurrence	Negative indicator species, particularly non-native invasive species, absent or under control	Gorse (*Ulex europaeus*) and purple moorgrass (*Molinia caerulea*) are currently competing strongly with the juniper. Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*) and the non-native cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster integrifolius*) also pose a threat

6210 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco Brometalia)(*important orchid sites)

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (*Festuco Brometalia*) in Galway Bay Complex, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	Extent of this habitat in the SAC is currently unknown. Areas are likely to be small and often in mosaic with other habitats such as limestone pavement and scrub (Dwyer et al., 2007; internal NPWS files). Dwyer et al. (2007) surveyed a number of sub-sites in 2006. The Irish semi-natural grasslands survey undertook survey work in Counties Clare and Galway in 2012 and additional information is likely to be available for this SAC following data analysis
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	Full distribution of this habitat in this SAC is currently unknown- see note above
Vegetation composition: broadleaf herb: grass ratio	Percentage	Broadleaf herb component of vegetation between 40 and 90%	Attribute and target based on O'Neill et al. (2010)
Vegetation composition: typical species	Number	At least 7 positive indicator species present, including 2 "high quality" species	List of positive indicator species, including high quality species, identified by O'Neill et al. (2010)
Vegetation composition: negative indicator species	Percentage	Negative indicator species collectively not more than 20% cover, with cover by an individual species not more than 10%. Non-native invasive species, absent or under control	List of negative indicator species identified by O'Neill et al. (2010)
Vegetation structure: sward height	Percentage	30-70% of sward 5-40cm high	Attribute and target based on O'Neill et al. (2010)
Vegetation structure: woody species and bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*)	Percentage	Cover of bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*) and woody species (except juniper (*Juniperus communis*)) not more than 5% cover	Attribute and target based on O'Neill et al. (2010)
Physical structure: bare ground	Percentage	Not more than 10% bare ground	Attribute and target based on O'Neill et al. (2010)

7210 Calcareous fens with Cladium mariscus and species of the Caricion davallianae

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Calcareous fens with *Cladium mariscus* and species of the *Caricion davallianae* in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	The full extent of this habitat within the SAC is currently unknown. Fen vegetation occurs in wetland areas to the east of Oranmore (Internal NPWS files). It has also been recorded in Ballindereen Lough (see turloughs supporting document for further details). This habitat is found in mosaic with another habitats including the Annex I habitat: Alkaline fens (7230) (Internal NPWS Files). NB further areas of fen are likely to occur within the SAC
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	Full distribution of this habitat in this SAC is currently unknown- see note above
Hydrological regime	Flow rates, metres	Appropriate natural hydrological regime necessary to support the natural structure and functioning of the habitat	Maintenance of groundwater, surface water flows and water table levels within natural ranges is essential for this wetland habitat
Peat formation	Flood duration	Active peat formation, where appropriate	In order for peat to form, water levels need to be slightly below or above the soil surface for c.90% of the time (Jim Ryan, pers. comm.)
Water quality: nutrients	Water chemistry measures	Appropriate water quality to support the natural structure and functioning of the habitat	Fens receive natural levels of nutrients (e.g. iron, magnesium and calcium) from water sources. However, they are generally poor in nitrogen and phosphorus with the latter tending to be the limiting nutrient
Vegetation composition: typical species	Presence	Maintain vegetation cover of typical species including brown mosses and vascular plants	Mosses listed for fen at this site include *Campylium stellatum*, *Fissidens adianthoides* and *Ctenidium molluscum*. Other species recorded include saw sedge (*Cladium mariscus*), black bog rush (*Schoenus nigricans*), purple moor-grass (*Molinia caerulea*), water mint (*Mentha aquatica*), wild angelica (*Angelica sylvestris*) and bogbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*) (Internal NPWS files)
Vegetation composition: trees and shrubs	Percentage	Cover of scattered native trees and shrubs not more than than 10%	Scrub and trees will tend to invade if fen conditions become drier. Internal NPWS files report scattered multi-stemmed trees over much of the habitat. Attribute and target based on upland habitat conservation assessment criteria (Perrin et al., in prep.)
Physical structure: disturbed bare ground	Percentage	Cover of disturbed bare ground not more than 10%. Where tufa is present, disturbed bare ground not more than 1%	While grazing may be appropriate in this habitat, excessive areas of disturbed bare ground may develop due to unsuitable grazing regimes. Attribute and target based on upland habitat conservation assessment criteria (Perrin et al., in prep.)
Physical structure: drainage	Percentage	Areas showing signs of drainage as a result of drainage ditches or heavy trampling not more than 10%	Attribute and target based on upland habitat conservation assessment criteria (Perrin et al., in prep.)

7230 Alkaline fens

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Alkaline fens in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	Area stable or increasing, subject to natural processes	The full extent of this habitat within the SAC is currently unknown. Fen vegetation occurs in wetland areas to the east of Oranmore (Internal NPWS files). It has also been recorded in Ballindereen Lough (see turloughs supporting document for further details). This habitat is found in mosaic with another habitats including the Annex I habitat: Calcareous fens with *Cladium mariscus* and species of the Caricion davallianae (7210). NB further areas of fen are likely to occur within the SAC
Habitat distribution	Occurrence	No decline, subject to natural processes	Full distribution of this habitat in this SAC is currently unknown- see note above
Hydrological regime	Flow rates, metres	Appropriate natural hydrological regime necessary to support the natural structure and functioning of the habitat	Maintenance of groundwater, surface water flows and water table levels within natural ranges is essential for this wetland habitat
Peat formation	Flood duration	Active peat formation, where appropriate	In order for peat to form, water levels need to be slightly below or above the soil surface for c.90% of the time (Jim Ryan, pers. comm.)
Water quality: nutrients	Water chemistry measures	Appropriate water quality to support the natural structure and functioning of the habitat	Fens receive natural levels of nutrients (e.g. iron, magnesium and calcium) from water sources. However, they are generally poor in nitrogen and phosphorus with the latter tending to be tbe limiting nutrient
Vegetation composition: typical species	Presence	Maintain vegetation cover of typical species including brown mosses and vascular plants	Mosses listed for fen at this site include *Campylium stellatum*, *Fissidens adianthoides* and *Ctenidium molluscum*. Other species recorded include black bog rush (*Schoenus nigricans*), purple moor-grass (*Molinia caerulea*), sedge species (*Carex* spp.), water mint (*Mentha aquatica*), butterwort (*Pinguicula* spp.) and ling heather (*Calluna vulgaris*) (Internal NPWS files)
Vegetation composition: trees and shrubs	Percentage	Cover of scattered native trees and shrubs less than 10%	Scrub and trees will tend to invade if fen conditions become drier. Internal NPWS files report scattered multi-stemmed trees over much of the habitat. Attribute and target based on upland habitat conservation assessment criteria (Perrin et al., in prep.)
Physical structure: disturbed bare ground	Percentage	Cover of disturbed bare ground less than 10%. Where tufa is present, disturbed bare ground less than 1%	While grazing may be appropriate in this habitat, excessive area of disturbed bare ground may develop due to unsuitable grazing regimes. Attribute and target based on upland habitat conservation assessment criteria (Perrin et al., in prep.)
Physical structure: drainage	Percentage	Areas showing signs of drainage as a result of drainage ditches or heavy trampling less than 10%	Attribute and target based on upland habitat conservation assessment criteria (Perrin et al., in prep.)

1355 Otter *Lutra lutra*

To restore the favourable conservation condition of Otter in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Distribution	Percentage positive survey sites	No significant decline	Measure based on standard otter survey technique. FCS target, based on 1980/81 survey findings, is 88% in SACs. Current range in the west is estimated at 70% (Bailey and Rochford, 2006).
Extent of terrestrial habitat	Hectares	No significant decline. Area mapped and calculated as 262ha above high water mark (HWM); 14ha along river banks/around ponds	No field survey. Areas mapped to include 10m terrestrial buffer along shoreline (above HWM and along river banks) identified as critical for otters (NPWS, 2007)
Extent of marine habitat	Hectares	No significant decline. Area mapped and calculated as 2040ha	No field survey. Area mapped based on evidence that otters tend to forage within 80m of the shoreline (HWM) (NPWS, 2007; Kruuk, 2006)
Extent of freshwater (river) habitat	Kilometres	No significant decline. Length mapped and calculated as 4km	No field survey. River length calculated on the basis that otters will utilise freshwater habitats from estuary to headwaters (Chapman and Chapman, 1982)
Extent of freshwater (lake/lagoon) habitat	Hectares	No significant decline. Area mapped and calculated as 21ha	No field survey. Area mapped based on evidence that otters tend to forage within 80m of the shoreline (NPWS, 2007)
Couching sites and holts	Number	No significant decline	Otters need lying up areas throughout their territory where they are secure from disturbance (Kruuk, 2006; Kruuk and Moorhouse, 1991)
Fish biomass available	Kilograms	No significant decline	Broad diet that varies locally and seasonally, but dominated by fish, in particular salmonids, eels and sticklebacks in freshwater (Bailey and Rochford, 2006) and wrasse and rockling in coastal waters (Kingston et al., 1999)
Barriers to connectivity	Number	No significant increase. For guidance, see map 11	Otters will regularly commute across stretches of open water up to 500m e.g. between the mainland and an island; between two islands; across an estuary (De Jongh and O'Neill, 2010). It is important that such commuting routes are not obstructed

1365 Harbour seal *Phoca vitulina*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Harbour Seal in Galway Bay Complex SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Access to suitable habitat	Number of artificial barriers	Species range within the site should not be restricted by artificial barriers to site use. See map 12	See marine supporting document for further details
Breeding behaviour	Breeding sites	Conserve breeding sites in a natural condition. See map 12	Attribute and target based on background knowledge of Irish breeding populations, review of data summarised by Summers et al. (1980), Warner (1983), Harrington (1990), Doyle (2002), Lyons (2004), and unpublished NPWS records. See marine supporting document for further details
Moulting behaviour	Moult haul-out sites	Conserve moult haul-out sites in a natural condition. See map 12	Attribute and target based on background knowledge of Irish populations, review of data from Doyle (2002), Lyons (2004), Cronin et al. (2004), NPWS (2010, 2011, 2012) and unpublished NPWS records. See marine supporting document for further details
Resting behaviour	Resting haul-out sites	Conserve resting haul-out sites in a natural condition. See map 12	Attribute and target based on background knowledge of Irish populations, review of data from Doyle (2002), Lyons (2004) and unpublished NPWS records. See marine supporting document for further details
Disturbance	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the harbour seal population at the site	See marine supporting document for further details

3.2.2. Inner Galway Bay SPA [004031]

Specific Conservation Objectives and Target Notes are set by the NPWS (Version 1. 1st May 2013) for Inner Galway Bay SPA (004031) in Appendix 2. Specific objectives for designated species are included as follows:

A003 Great Northern Diver *Gavia immer*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Great Northern Diver in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by great northern diver, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A017 Cormorant *Phalacrocorax carbo*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Cormorant in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population abundance: apparently occupied nests (AONs)	Number	No significant decline	This attribute applies to breeding cormorant. Measure based on standard survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). The Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) online database (JNCC, 2013) provides population data for this species. A recent survey of Deer Island (conducted in 2010) estimated 128 AONs at this colony, which represents an approximate decline of 38% since 1985
Productivity rate	Mean number	No significant decline	This attribute applies to breeding cormorant. Measure based on standard survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). The Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) online database (JNCC, 2013) provides population data for this species
Distribution: breeding colonies	Number; location; area (hectares)	No significant decline	This attribute applies to breeding cormorant. Cormorant colonies are usually sited on flat or rocky islets or sea stack tops, less often on cliffs (Walsh et al., 1995). Deer Island is a traditional breeding colony in this SPA
Prey biomass available	Kilogrammes	No significant decline	This attribute applies to breeding cormorant. Key prey items: fish (mostly benthic), some crustaceans. Key habitats: cormorants use sandy areas as well as rocky and vegetated substrates. Foraging range: max. 50km, mean max. 31.67km, mean 8.46km (BirdLife International Seabird Database (Birdlife International, 2013))
Barriers to connectivity	Number; location; shape; area (hectares)	No significant increase	This attribute applies to breeding cormorant. Seabird species make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Foraging range: max. 50km, mean max. 31.67km, mean 8.46km (BirdLife International Seabird Database (Birdlife International, 2013))
Disturbance at breeding site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the breeding cormorant population	This attribute applies to breeding cormorant. Cormorant colonies are usually sited on flat or rocky islets or sea stack tops, less often on cliffs (Walsh et al., 1995). Deer Island is a traditional breeding site
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	This attribute applies to non-breeding cormorant. Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the numbers or range of areas used by cormorant, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	This attribute applies to non-breeding cormorant. Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A046 Brent Goose *Branta bernicla hrota*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Light-bellied Brent Goose in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing and intensity of use of areas by light-bellied brent goose, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A028 Grey Heron *Ardea cinerea*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Grey Heron in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing and intensity of use of areas used by grey heron, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A050 Wigeon *Anas penelope*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Wigeon in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by wigeon, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A056 Shoveler *Anas clypeata*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Shoveler in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by shoveler, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A052 Teal *Anas crecca*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Teal in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by teal, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A069 Red-breasted Merganser *Mergus serrator*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Red-breasted Merganser in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing and intensity of use of areas by red-breasted merganser, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A137 Ringed Plover *Charadrius hiaticula*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Ringed Plover in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by ringed plover, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of conservation objectives supporting document

A140 Golden Plover *Pluvialis apricaria*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Golden Plover in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of theconservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by golden plover, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A142 Lapwing *Vanellus vanellus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Lapwing in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by lapwing, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives

A149 Dunlin *Calidris alpina alpina*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Dunlin in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by dunlin, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A157 Bar-tailed Godwit *Limosa lapponica*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Bar-tailed Godwit in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of use of areas	There should be no significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by bar-tailed godwit, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A160 Curlew *Numenius arquata*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Curlew in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of use of areas	There should be no significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by curlew, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A162 Redshank *Tringa totanus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Redshank in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number, range, timing and intensity of use of area	There should be no significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by redshank, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A169 Turnstone *Arenaria interpres*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Turnstone in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	There should be no significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by turnstone, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A179 Black-headed Gull *Chroicocephalus ridibundus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Black-headed Gull in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	There should be no significant decrease in the range, timing and intensity of use of areas used by black-headed gull other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	As determined by regular low tide and other waterbird surveys. Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A182 Common Gull *Larus canus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Common Gull in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Number and range of areas used by waterbirds	No significant decrease in the range,timing or intensity of use of areas by the common gull, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2009/2010 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A191 Sandwich Tern *Sterna sandvicensis*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Sandwich Tern in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population abundance: apparently occupied nests (AONs)	Number	No significant decline	Measure based on standard tern survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). Hannon et al. (1997) and Mitchell et al. (2004) provide summary population information. The Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) online database (JNCC, 2013) provides population data for this species
Productivity rate: fledged young per breeding pair	Mean number	No significant decline	Measure based on standard tern survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). The Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) online database (JNCC, 2013) provides population data for this species
Distribution: breeding colonies	Number; location; area (Hectares)	No significant decline	Typical sandwich tern breeding sites are located on low-lying offshore islands or islets in bays or brackish lagoons on spits or remote mainland dunes (Cramp, 1985). Wide fluctuations between years in both breeding numbers and colony locations are known to occur for this species (Mitchell et al., 2004)
Prey biomass available	Kilogrammes	No significant decline	Key prey items: Mostly energy-rich fish, some crustaceans and occasionally insects and rag worms. Key habitats: sandwich tern forage in/over shallow marine waters such as bays, inlets and outflows, gullies, shoals, inshore waters, reefs, and sandbanks; also more open waters nearshore and offshore, including open sea. Foraging range: max. 70km, mean max. 42.3km, mean 14.7km (BirdLife International Seabird Database (Birdlife International, 2013))
Barriers to connectivity	Number; location; shape; area (hectares)	No significant increase	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Foraging range: Max 70km, mean max 42.3km, mean 14.7km (Birdlife International Seabird Database (Birdlife International, 2013))
Disturbance at breeding site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the breeding sandwich tern population	Typical sandwich tern breeding sites are located on low-lying offshore islands or islets in bays or brackish bagoons on spits or remote mainland dunes (Cramp, 1985)

A193 Common Tern *Sterna hirundo*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Common Tern in Inner Galway Bay SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population abundance: apparently occupied nests (AONs)	Number	No significant decline	Measure based on standard tern survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). Hannon et al. (1997) and Mitchell et al. (2004) provide summary population information. The Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) (JNCC, 2013) provides population data for this species
Productivity rate: fledged young per breeding pair	Mean number	No significant decline	Measure based on standard tern survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). The Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) (JNCC, 2013) provides population data for this species
Distribution: breeding colonies	Number; location; area (Hectares)	No significant decline	Common tern breeding colonies can be sited in both coastal and inland areas using a wide variety of habitats including sandy, rocky or well-vegetated islands in estuaries, lakes and rivers. This species can also use man-made subtrates (Del Hoyo et al., 1996)
Prey biomass available	Kilogrammes	No significant decline	Key prey items: Small fish, crustaceans, insects and occasionally squid. Key habitats: common tern forage in/over shallow coastal waters, bays, inlets, shoals, tidal-rips, drift lines, beaches, saltmarsh creeks, lakes, ponds, or rivers. Foraging range: max. 37km, mean max. 33.81km, mean 8.67km (BirdLife International Seabird Database (Birdlife International, 2013))
Barriers to connectivity	Number; location; shape; area (hectares)	No significant increase	Seabird species can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Foraging range: max. 37km, mean max. 33.81km, mean 8.67km (BirdLife International Seabird Database (Birdlife International, 2013))
Disturbance at breeding site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the breeding little tern population	Breeding colonies can be sited in both coastal and inland areas using a wide variety of habitats including sandy, rocky or well vegetated islands in estuaries, lakes and rivers. This species can also use man-made subtrates (Del Hoyo et al., 1996)

A999 Wetlands

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of wetland habitat in Inner Galway Bay SPA as a resource for the regularly occurring migratory waterbirds that utilise it. This is defined by the following attribute and target:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent area occupied by the wetland habitat should be stable and not significantly less than the area of 13,267ha, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	The wetland habitat area was estimated as 13,267ha using OSi data and relevant orthophotographs. For further information see part three of the conservation objectives supporting document

3.3. Consideration of Effects on European Sites

3.3.1. Annex I Habitats Directive Habitats

There are no Annex I habitats located under the footprint or in the vicinity of the Project. There will be no direct impacts Galway Bay and there will be no habitat loss or fragmentation as a result of the Project. Having considered direct impacts and ruling them out, indirect impacts are then considered in terms of source pathway vectors.

Potential impacts on the Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA are considered in terms of hydrological connectivity between the Project and Galway Bay.

A worst-case scenario may arise were the project to result in a significant detrimental change in water quality in Galway Bay either alone or in combination with other projects or plans as a result of indirect pollution, the effect would have to be considered in terms of changes in water quality which would significantly affect the habitats or food sources for which the Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA species is designated.

3.3.2. Annex I Birds Directive Birds

There will be no direct effects on Annexed bird species for which the Inner Galway Bay SPA is designated given the existing level of development of the subject site and the maintenance of the adjacent land as farmland and so the main concern is with regard to water quality and indirect impacts on water quality and prey species.

3.3.3. Habitats Directive Annex II Species

Of the two annexed species listed as conservation interests (Otters and Seals) for the Galway Bay Complex SAC, only Otters may be considered within the potential zone of impact of the Project.

The otter (*Lutra lutra*) is listed under Annex II of the EU Habitats Directive and under Annex II of the Berne Convention; it is also a legally protected species under the Wildlife Act, 1976 (and Wildlife (Amendment) Act, 2000). Otters are found throughout Ireland and tend to occupy linear territories along watercourses and are rarely found far away from water. Otters have been recorded at several locations in Inner Galway Bay, including a 2005 record from approximately 700m to the west at Turreen Lough.

There would be no direct impacts on Otters and so the main concern is with regard to water quality and indirect impacts on water quality and prey species.

3.3.4. Ecological Network Supporting Natura 2000 Sites

An analysis of the proposed Natural Heritage Areas and designated Natural Heritage Areas in terms of their role in supporting the species using Natura 2000 sites was undertaken. These supporting roles mainly relate to mobile fauna such as mammals and birds which may use pNHAs and NHAs as “stepping stones” between Natura 2000 sites.

Article 10 of the Habitats Directive and the Habitats Regulations 2011 place a high degree of importance on such non-Natura 2000 areas as features that connect the Natura 2000 network. Features such as ponds, woodlands and important hedgerows were taken into account during the AA process.
There are no Natural Heritage Areas or proposed Natural Heritage Areas that will be affected by the Project.

3.4. Effects on the Qualifying Interests of European Sites

3.4.1. Direct Effects

There will be no direct impacts on the Galway Bay Complex SAC or on the Inner Galway Bay SPA as a result of the implementation of the Project. Direct impact refers to physical impacts defined in the Departmental Guidance as 'Loss of habitat area' and/or 'Habitat Fragmentation'. There are no direct impacts identified which may affect the Annexed habitats or species of the SAC or SPA. The Project will have **no impacts** upon the integrity or the site structure of the Galway Bay Complex SAC or on the Inner Galway Bay SPA.

Having established this, the assessment emphasis is placed on potential indirect and cumulative impacts.

The primary consideration in terms of source-vector-pathways for indirect impacts relates to surface water and potential indirect impacts on hydrologically linked habitats and aquatic species.

3.4.2. Indirect Effects

The potential for impact is considered whereby the Project would result in a significant detrimental change in water quality either alone or in combination with other projects or plans as a result of indirect pollution of surface water. The effect would have to be considered in terms of changes in water quality which would affect the habitats or species for which the Galway Bay Complex SAC or on the Inner Galway Bay SPA are designated.

3.5. Mitigation Measures

The likelihood of impacts on hydrologically connected environmental sites is low.

The possibility of a flood event affecting the Project site is addressed in a separate application for the construction of defence berms.

3.6. Assessment of In-Combination Effects

The Commission services' interpretation document 'Managing Natura 2000 sites', makes clear that the phrase 'in combination with other plans or projects' in Article 3(3) refers to cumulative effects caused by the projects or plans that are currently under consideration together with the effects of any existing or proposed projects or plans. When impacts are assessed in combination in this way, it can be established whether or not there may be, overall, an impact which may have significant effects on a Natura 2000 site or which may adversely affect the integrity of a site.

As part of the Appropriate Assessment, in addition to the proposed works, other relevant projects and plans in the region must also be considered at this stage. This step aims to identify at this early stage any possible significant in-combination or cumulative effects / impacts of the Project with other such plans and projects on the Natura 2000 site.

A review of the National Planning Application Database was undertaken. The first stage of this review confirmed that there were no data outages in the area where the Project is located. The database was then queried for developments granted planning permission within 500 m of the Project within the last three years, these are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Planning Application granted permission in the vicinity of the Project.

Planning Ref.	Description of development	Comments
201800	for retention and completion of a partially completed two storey house floor area 356 sq. m, granted under Planning Ref. 04/2950. And Amendments to previously permitted house design under Planning Ref. 04/2950, consisting of: Additional Habitable Area of 21.5 msq at ground floor level, Additional habitable area of 35 msq at first floor level, Additional Gallery area of 24 msq at second floor level, Amendments to proposed external envelope and internal layout of the building. Gross floor space of works to be retained 356msq. Gross floor space of proposed works: 80.5 msq.	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.
20957	for the conversion of the attic space to attic storage room and to include for (i) roof windows (ii) ridge roof windows (iii) Gable Windows and (iv) Roof Solar Panels to the existing dwelling house at No. 14. Oran Island, Oranmore, Co. Galway. Gross floor space of proposed works: Attic Storage 49.50 sqm	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.
21102	for the conversion of the attic space to attic storage room and to include for (i) Front Elevation roof windows & (ii) Roof Solar Panels to the existing dwelling house. Attic Storage: 49.50 sqm	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.
212206	to demolish existing farmhouse dwelling, alter site boundaries, raise site levels and to construct a replacement dwelling house, new domestic garage, and carry out all associated site development works. Gross floor space of proposed works: 206.63 sqm. Gross floor space of any demolition: 211.25 sqm	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.
21655	to erect domestic garage/store. Gross floor space of proposed works: 45.00 sqm	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.

Planning Ref.	Description of development	Comments
22398	for a domestic garage, together with all associated site works, at our existing dwelling. Gross floor space of proposed works: 34 sqm	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.
Concurrent Application	The development consists of: 1. Construction of a landscaped earthen bund at the western and northern ends of the site. 2. Provision of demountable flood barriers at two other locations on the site.	No potential for in-combination effects given the Project will have no effect on any European site.

3.6.1. Conclusion of In-combination Effects

The converted garage is already connected to the foul sewers, as shown on the site layout. This sewer flows into a foul sewer manhole on the public road. The sewerage system is under the control of the management company for the Bramley Housing Estate. The sewage is eventually pumped into the Uisce Eireann sewer further along the public road.

The Galway County Development Plan in complying with the requirements of the Habitats Directive requires that all Projects and Plans that could affect the Natura 2000 sites in the same zone of impact of the Project site would be initially screened for Appropriate Assessment and if requiring Stage 2 AA, that appropriate employable mitigation measures would be put in place to avoid, reduce or ameliorate negative impacts. In this way any, in-combination impacts with Plans or Projects for the development area and surrounding townlands in which the development site is located, would be avoided.

Any new applications for the Project area will be initially assessed on a case by case basis *initially* by Galway County Council which will determine the requirement for AA Screening as per the requirements of Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive.

4. Natura Impact Statement & Conclusion

It is the conclusion of this RNIS, on the basis of the best scientific knowledge available, that the possibility of any adverse effects on the integrity of the European Sites considered in this RNIS (having regard to their conservation objectives), or on the integrity of any other European Sites (having regard to their conservation objectives,) arising from the Project, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, can be excluded beyond reasonable scientific doubt.

A final determination will be made by the competent authority in this regard.

5. References

Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2009) Guidance on Appropriate Assessment of plans and projects in Ireland (as amended February 2010).

European Commission (2018) Managing Natura 2000 sites: the provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC.

European Commission (2021) Assessment of plans and projects in relation to Natura 2000 sites - Methodological guidance on Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, Brussels 28.9.21.

European Commission (2021) Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive, Brussels 12.10.21.

NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Galway Bay Complex SAC 000268. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Inner Galway Bay SPA 004031. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2019) The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS (2025) National Parks and Wildlife Service Metadata available online at https://www.npws.ie/maps-and-data

Office-of-the-Planning-Regulator (2021) Appropriate Assessment Screening for Development Management OPR Practice Note PN01. March 2021